



Solidity

Dan Boneh

https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/latest/

Recap

World state: set of accounts identified by 32-byte address.

Two types of accounts:

(1) owned accounts (EOA): address = H(PK)

(2) contracts: address = H(CreatorAddr, CreatorNonce)

Recap: Transactions

- To: 32-byte address $(0 \rightarrow create new account)$
- From: 32-byte address
- Value: # Wei being sent with Tx (1 Wei = 10^{-18} ETH, 1 GWei = 10^{-9} ETH)
- Tx fees (EIP 1559): gasLimit, maxFee, maxPriorityFee
- data: what contract function to call & arguments (calldata)

if To = 0: create new contract code = (init, body)

• [signature]: if Tx initiated by an owned account (EOA)

Recap: Blocks

Validators collect Tx from users:

 \Rightarrow run Tx <u>sequentially</u> on current world state

⇒ new block contains **updated world state**, Tx list, log msgs

The Ethereum blockchain: abstractly



EVM mechanics: execution environment

Write code in Solidity (or another front-end language)

 \Rightarrow compile to EVM bytecode

(other projects use WASM or BPF bytecode)

⇒ validators use the EVM to execute contract bytecode in response to a Tx

The EVM

The EVM

Stack machine (like Bitcoin) but with JUMP

• contract can <u>create</u> or <u>call</u> another contract \Rightarrow composability

Two types of zero initialized memory:

- Persistent storage (on blockchain): SLOAD, SSTORE (expensive)
- Volatile memory (for single Tx): MLOAD, MSTORE (cheap)
- LOG0(data): write data to log tree (not readable by EVM)
- Tx Calldata (16 gas/byte): readable by EVM in current Tx

(near future: support for cheap 128KB blobs)

Every instruction costs gas

Why charge gas?

calculated by EVM

- Tx fees (gas) prevents submitting Tx that runs for many steps.
- During high load: block proposer chooses Tx from mempool that maximize its income.

specified in Tx

if gasUsed ≥ gasLimit: block proposer keeps gas fees (from Tx originator)

Gas prices spike during congestion





Gas calculation: EIP1559

Every block has a "baseFee": the **minimum** gasPrice for Tx in the block

baseFee is computed from <u>total gas</u> in earlier blocks:

• earlier blocks at gas limit (30M gas) \Rightarrow base fee goes up 12.5%

interpolate in between

• earlier blocks empty \implies base fee decreases by 12.5%

If earlier blocks at "target size" (15M gas) \implies baseFee does not change

Gas calculation

A transaction specifies three parameters:

- gasLimit: max total gas allowed for Tx
- maxFee: maximum allowed gas price
 - maxPriorityFee: additional "tip" to be paid to block proposer

Computed **gasPrice** bid (in Wei = 10⁻¹⁸ ETH):

gasPrice min(maxFee, baseFee + maxPriorityFee)

Max Tx fee: gasLimit × gasPrice

Gas calculation (informal)

gasUsed ← gas used by Tx

Send gasUsed × (gasPrice – baseFee) to block proposer

BURN gasUsed × baseFee



\Rightarrow total supply of ETH can decrease

Gas calculation

- (1) if **gasPrice < baseFee**: abort
- (2) If **gasLimit × gasPrice** > msg.sender.balance: abort
- (3) deduct gasLimit × gasPrice from msg.sender.balance
- (4) set Gas ← gasLimit
- (5) execute Tx: deduct gas from Gas for each instruction
 if at end (Gas < 0): abort, Tx is invalid (proposer keeps gasLimit × gasPrice)
- (6) Refund **Gas** × **gasPrice** to msg.sender.balance (leftover change)
- (7) gasUsed ← gasLimit Gas
 - (7a) BURN gasUsed × baseFee



(7b) Send gasUsed × (gasPrice – baseFee) to block producer

Example baseFee and effect of burn

block #	gasUsed		baseFee (G	wei)	ETH burned
15763570	21,486,058		16.92	\downarrow	0.363
15763569	14,609,185	(<15M)	16.97		0.248
15763568	25,239,720		15.64	\uparrow	0.394
15763567	29,976,215	(>15M)	13.90	\checkmark	0.416
15763566	14,926,172	(<15M)	13.91	\downarrow	0.207
15763565	1,985,580	(<15M)	15.60		0.031
					~ ≈

-ee

new issuance > burn \Rightarrow ETH inflates new issuance < burn \Rightarrow ETH deflates

Eth total supply (since merge)



Why burn ETH ???

<u>EIP1559 goals</u> (informal):

- users incentivized to bid their true utility for posting Tx,
- block proposer incentivized to not create fake Tx, and
- disincentivize off chain agreements.

Suppose no burn (i.e., baseFee given to block producer):

⇒ in periods of low Tx volume proposer would try to increase volume by offering to refund the baseFee *off chain* to users.

Let's look at the Ethereum blockchain

etherscan.io:

Latest	Blocks						
Bk	15778674 7 secs ago	Fee Recipient Fee Recipient: 0x6d2766 138 txns in 12 secs					
Bk	15778673 19 secs ago	Fee Recipient Lido: Execution Layer Re 111 txns in 12 secs					
Bk	15778672 31 secs ago	Fee Recipient Flashbots: Builder 313 txns in 12 secs					
Bk	15778671 43 secs ago	Fee Recipient Lido: Execution Layer Re 34 txns in 12 secs					

From/to address

Tx value

From		То	Value
0x39feb77c9f90fae6196	-	0x52de8d3febd3a06d3c	0.088265 Ether
() areyougay.eth	-	0x404f5a67f72787a6dbd	0.2 Ether
Optimism: State Root Pr	-	Deptimism: State Commit	0 Ether
0xb3336d324ed828dbc8	-	🖹 Uniswap V3: Router 2	0 Ether
0x1deaf9880c1180b023	-	Uniswap V3: Router 2	0.14 Ether
0x10c5a61426b506dcba	-	Uniswap V2: Router 2	0 Ether
() defiantplatform.eth	-	🖹 0x617dee16b86534a5d7	0 Ether

Let's look at a transaction ...

Transaction ID: 0x14b1a03534ce3c460b022185b4 ...

From: 0x1deaf9880c1180b02307e940c1e8ef936e504b6a

To: Contract 0x68b3465833fb72a70ecdf485e0e4c7bd8665fc45 (Uniswap V3: Router 2)

Value: 0.14 Ether (\$182)

Data: Function: multicall() [calls multiple methods in a single call]

Contract generated a call to Contract 0xC02aaA39b22 ... (value:0.14)

Let's look at the To contract ...

Contract 0xC02aaA39b223FE8D0A0e5C4F27eAD9083C756Cc2

(Wrapped ETH: called from Uniswap V3: Router 2)

Balance: **4,133,236** Ether

Code: 81 lines of solidity

anyone can read

function withdraw(uint wad) public {
 require(balanceOf[msg.sender] >= wad);
 balanceOf[msg.sender] -= wad;
 msg.sender.transfer(wad);
 Withdrawal(msg.sender, wad); // emit log event

code snippet

Remember: contracts cannot keep secrets!

Contract 0xC02aaA39b223FE8D0A0e5C4F27eAD9083C756Cc2

(Wrapped ETH)

Anyone can read contract
 state in storage array
 ⇒ never store secrets
 in contract!

etherscan.io **Read Contract** Code Write Contract (storage) (see API) Read Contract Information 1. name Wrapped Ether string 2. totalSupply 4133296938185062975508724 uint256

Solidity variables stored in S[] array



docs: https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/latest/

Several IDE's available

Contract structure

interface IERC20 {

...

function **transfer**(address _to, uint256 _value) external returns (bool); function **totalSupply**() external view returns (uint256);

contract ERC20 is IERC20 { // inheritance

address owner;

```
constructor() public { owner = msg.sender; }
```

function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value) external returns (bool) {
 ... implentation ...

}

Value types

- uint256
- address (bytes32)
 - _address.balance, _address.send(value), _address.transfer(value)
 - call: send Tx to another contract

bool success = _address.call{value: msg.value/2, gas: 1000}(args);

- delegate call: load code from another contract into current context
- bytes32
- bool

Reference types

- structs
- arrays
- bytes
- strings
- mappings:
 - Declaration: mapping (address => unit256) **balances**;
 - Assignment: balances[addr] = value;

struct Person {
 uint128 age;
 uint128 balance;
 address addr;
 }
Person[10] public people;

Globally available variables

- block: .blockhash, .coinbase, .gaslimit, .number, .timestamp
- gasLeft()
- msg: .data, .sender, .sig, .value
- **tx**: .gasprice, .origin

 $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D:$ at D: msg.sender == C tx.origin == A

- abi: encode, encodePacked, encodeWithSelector, encodeWithSignature
- Keccak256(), sha256(), sha3()
- require, assert e.g.: require(msg.value > 100, "insufficient funds sent")

Function visibilities

• **external**: function can only be called from outside contract.

Arguments read from calldata

• **public**: function can be called externally and internally.

if called externally: arguments copied from calldata to memory

- **private**: only visible inside contract
- **internal**: only visible in this contract and contracts deriving from it
- view: only read storage (no writes to storage)
- **pure**: does not touch storage

function f(uint a) private pure returns (uint b) { return a + 1; }

Inheritance

• Inheritance

contract owned {
 address owner;
 constructor() { owner = msg.sender; }
 modifier onlyOwner {
 require(msg.sender == owner); _; } }

contract Destructable is owned {
 function destroy() public onlyOwner { selfdestruct(owner) };
 }
code of contract "owned" is compiled into contract Destructable

- <u>Libraries</u>: library code is executed in the context of calling contract
 - o library Search { function IndexOf(); }
 - o contract A { function B { Search.IndexOf(); } }

ERC20 tokens

- <u>https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/blob/master/EIPS/eip-20.md</u>
- A standard API for <u>fungible tokens</u> that provides basic functionality to transfer tokens or allow the tokens to be spent by a third party.
- An ERC20 token is itself a smart contract that maintains all user balances: mapping(address => uint256) internal balances;
- A standard interface allows other contracts to interact with every ERC20 token.
 No need for special logic for each token.

ERC20 token interface

- function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value) external returns (bool);
- function transferFrom(address _from, address _to, uint256 _value) external returns (bool);
- function approve(address _spender, uint256 _value) external returns (bool);

- function totalSupply() external view returns (uint256);
- function **balanceOf**(address _owner) external view returns (uint256);
- function allowance(address _owner, address _spender) external view returns (uint256);

How are ERC20 tokens transferred?

```
contract ERC20 is IERC20 {
```

```
mapping (address => uint256) internal balances;
```

```
function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value) external returns (bool) {
  require(balances[msg.sender] >= _value, "ERC20_INSUFFICIENT_BALANCE");
  require(balances[_to] + _value >= balances[_to], "UINT256_OVERFLOW");
  balances[msg.sender] -= _value;
  balances[_to] += _value;
  emit Transfer(msg.sender, _to, _value); // write log message
  return true;
}}
```

Tokens can be minted by a special function mint(address _to, uint256 _value)

ABI encoding and decoding

- Every function has a 4 byte selector that is calculated as the first 4 bytes of the hash of the function signature.
 - For `transfer`, this looks like bytes4(keccak256("transfer(address,uint256)");
- The function arguments are then ABI encoded into a single byte array and concatenated with the function selector.
 - This data is then sent to the address of the contract, which is able to decode the arguments and execute the code.
- Functions can also be implemented within the fallback function

Calling other contracts

• Addresses can be cast to contract types.

address _token; IERC20Token **tokenContract** = IERC20Token(_token); ERC20Token **tokenContract** = ERC20Token(_token);

- When calling a function on an external contract, Solidity will automatically handle ABI encoding, copying to memory, and copying return values.
 - o tokenContract.transfer(_to, _value);

Stack variables

- Stack variables generally cost the least gas
 - can be used for any simple types (anything that is <= 32 bytes).
 - o uint256 a = 123;
- All simple types are represented as bytes32 at the EVM level.
- Only 16 stack variables can exist within a single scope.



- Calldata is a read-only byte array.
- Every byte of a transaction's calldata costs gas

(16 gas per non-zero byte, 4 gas per zero byte).

- It is cheaper to load variables directly from calldata, rather than copying them to memory.
 - This can be accomplished by marking a function as `external`.

Memory (compiled to MSTORE, MLOAD)

- Memory is a byte array.
- Complex types (anything > 32 bytes such as structs, arrays, and strings) must be stored in memory or in storage.

string memory name = "Alice";

• Memory is cheap, but the cost of memory grows quadratically.

Storage array (compiled to SSTORE, SLOAD)

- Using storage is very expensive and should be used sparingly.
- Writing to storage is most expensive.

Reading from storage is cheaper, but still relatively expensive.

- mappings and state variables are always in storage.
- Some gas is refunded when storage is deleted or set to 0
- Trick for saving has: variables < 32 bytes can be packed into 32 byte slots.

Event logs

• Event logs are a cheap way of storing data that

does not need to be accessed by any contracts.

• Events are stored in transaction receipts, rather than in storage.

Security considerations

- Are we checking math calculations for overflows and underflows?
 - done by the compiler since Solidity 0.8.
- What assertions should be made about function inputs, return values, and contract state?
- Who is allowed to call each function?
- Are we making any assumptions about the functionality of external contracts that are being called?

Re-entrency bugs

contract Bank{

mapping(address=>uint) userBalances;

function getUserBalance(address user) constant public returns(uint) { return userBalances[user]; }

function addToBalance() public payable {

userBalances[msg.sender] = userBalances[msg.sender] + msg.value; }

// user withdraws funds

function withdrawBalance() public {

uint amountToWithdraw = userBalances[msg.sender];

// send funds to caller ... vulnerable! if (msg.sender.call{value:amountToWithdraw}() == false) { throw; }

userBalances[msg.sender] = 0;

```
contract Attacker {
    uint numlterations;
```

Bank bank;

function Attacker(address _bankAddress) { // constructor

```
bank = Bank(_bankAddress);
numIterations = 10;
if (bank{value:75}.addToBalance() == false) { throw; } // Deposit 75 Wei
if (bank.withdrawBalance() == false) { throw; } // Trigger attack
} }
```

function () { // the fallback function

```
if (numlterations > 0) {
```

numIterations --; // make sure Tx does not run out of gas

```
if (bank.withdrawBalance() == false) { throw; }
```

Why is this an attack?

(1) Attacker → Bank.addToBalance(75)

(2) Attacker → Bank.withdrawBalance → Attacker.fallback → Bank.withdrawBalance → Attacker.fallback → Bank.withdrawBalance → ...

withdraw 75 Wei at each recursive step

How to fix?

function withdrawBalance() public {

uint amountToWithdraw = userBalances[msg.sender];

```
userBalances[msg.sender] = 0;
```

if (msg.sender.call{value:amountToWithdraw}() == false) {
 userBalances[msg.sender] = amountToWithdraw;
 throw;

END OF LECTURE

Next lecture: DeFi contracts